UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,990	07/14/2003	Scott Cunningham	2850	5967
50855 Tyco Healthcar	7590 07/06/200 e Group LP	EXAMINER		
60 MIDDLETC	OWN AVENUE	RYCKMAN, MELISSA K		
NORTH HAVE	EN, C1 004/3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/618,990	CUNNINGHAM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	MELISSA RYCKMAN	3773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the imal rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FI	_ED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as		
<u> </u>	lianna with 27 CED 44 27 must be 4	filed within two months	a af tha data af		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	ducina or cimplifuina t	ha laguag far		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	auding or simplifying ti	ie issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sorresponding number of finding reje	oted cidims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphant / monamont (102 024).		
 Applicants reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		l be entered and an e	xplanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	nded below of appended.				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,12-22,24 and 25</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a Ne	stice of Annael will not	bo ontored		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consident because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance		
See Continuation Sheet.					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/	Makere Devices				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773	/Melissa Ryckman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

The applicant states the dimensions are described in the specification, and points to the specification, however the applicant was not acting as a lexicographer in the specification. The applicant must clearly define the dimension xt in the claims. Alchas and Allen teaches the claimed invention, the dimention "xt" is not clearly defined in the claim. The applicant argues Gravless does not teaches an angle of "about 2 to 10 degrees", Gravlee teaches "about 15 degrees", the examiner must give the broadest reasonable interpretation to the world "about", "about 10 degrees" overlaps with "about 15 degrees" the applicant should remove the word about if the applicant want 2-10 degrees. The applicant should clearly define the dimension "xt" to overcome the previous rejection.